Choline PET/CT Imaging for Management of Prostate Cancer

Kazuhiro Kitajima1*, Kazuhiro Fukushima1, Koichiro Yamakado2, Shozo Hirota2 and Shingo Yamamoto3

1Department of Radiology, Division of Nuclear Medicine and PET Center, Hyogo College of Medicine, Japan
2Department of Radiology, Hyogo College of Medicine, Japan
3Department of Urology, Hyogo College of Medicine, Japan

Abstract

Whole-body Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) with [11C]- and [18F]-labeled choline derivatives has emerged as a promising molecular imaging modality for evaluation of prostate cancer. [11C]-choline and [18F]-fluorocholine PET/CT examinations have been shown to be effective for restaging of prostate cancer patients with biochemical disease recurrence after undergoing definitive therapy, especially those with a serum prostate-specific antigen level >1.0 ng/mL. On the other hand, they have more limited roles for initial staging of prostate cancer or detection of tiny lymph node metastasis, due to the low spatial resolution inherent with PET. Overall, these modalities are most useful for cases with a high pre-test suspicion of metastatic disease. Here, we review the current clinical roles of [11C]-choline and [18F]-fluorocholine PET/CT for management of patients with prostate cancer.
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Introduction

Integrated Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) is a unique imaging technique for acquisition of both metabolic and anatomical imaging data using a single device in a single diagnostic session, which has opened new opportunities for clinical oncological imaging of various types of malignant tumors. The most commonly used radiopharmaceutical for PET in oncology cases is 2-[18F]fluoro-2-deoxy-d-glucose ([18F-FDG]), an analog of glucose that is preferentially taken up by and trapped inside malignant cells. However, for urologic oncology, use of [18F-FDG] is limited for diagnosis of localized prostate cancer, because of its low level of tumor uptake and urinary excretion [1,2]. In recent years, new and more promising PET tracers, such as [11C]-acetate, [11C]-choline, [18F]-fluorocholine, anti-1-amino-3-[18F]-fluorocyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid (anti-3-[18F]-FACBC), and Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen (PSMA), have been evaluated for imaging of patients for prostate cancer detection [1-3]. Here, we review the current and future roles of choline PET/CT for management of prostate cancer, and also discuss its usefulness and limitations for imaging of affected patients.

Choline

The concept for using choline in prostate cancer imaging is based on elevated phosphorylcholine levels and increased phospho-choline turnover in prostate cancer cells [4]. Choline is an essential component of cell membrane phospholipids. After uptake into the cell through a high-affinity transporter system, choline kinase phosphorylates choline, which represents the first step in the Kennedy cycle, and is then incorporated into the phosphatidyl membrane. In prostate cancer cells, key enzymes of choline metabolism, such as choline kinase, are upregulated.

Hara et al. [5] were the first to describe use of [11C]-choline PET for evaluation of prostate cancer. A major advantage of this radiotracer is its rapid blood clearance (~5 minutes) and rapid uptake by prostate tissue (3–5 minutes), which allows for early imaging prior to excretion of the radiotracer into urine. Thus, the pelvis can be viewed before significant excretory activity becomes a potential confounder. Unfortunately, the 20-minute half-life of [11C]-choline restricts its use to institutions equipped with a cyclotron on site, whereas the longer half-life of [18F]-fluorocholine (~110 minutes) allows transportation from institutions without a cyclotron.
of $^{18}$F-fluorocholine over $^{11}$C-choline produces slightly higher image quality, though the urinary excretion of $^{18}$F-fluorocholine is greater than that of $^{11}$C-choline [6].

**Diagnosis**

Focal choline uptake by the prostate leads to suspicion of prostate cancer. However, non-malignant causes, such as high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, prostatitis, Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH), and even normal tissues can also be sources of false-positive focal activity. In patient-based analyses, detection of local untreated prostate cancer by choline PET/CT has been reported to have a sensitivity of 55–100%, specificity of 43–87%, and accuracy of 60–84% [1,3]. Sensitivity is related to lesion size and Martorana et al. [7] found that sensitivity in $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT examinations was 83% for lesions ≥5 mm, while it was only 4% for lesions <5 mm. This is not surprisingly, since the spatial resolution of clinical PET scanners is about 5 mm. In addition, a partial volume effect could be another cause of failure to detect smaller lesions [8].

Although a few groups have shown that increased choline uptake in primary prostate cancer is correlated with histological surrogate markers of aggressiveness, such as Gleason score and MIB-1/Ki-67 labeling index [9], many groups have failed to find a significant correlation between choline uptake and serum Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) level, Gleason score, or tumor grade [10,11]. Multi-parametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), a combination of high-resolution T2-weighted imaging and functional MR techniques such as dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging and diffusion-weighted imaging, remains the gold standard imaging technique for detection and local staging of untreated prostate cancer, as it shows such details as capsular and seminal vesicle invasion.

**Staging**

Although choline PET/CT has limitations for detection of primary prostate cancer, it may be useful for a minority of newly diagnosed patients in whom distant metastatic disease is highly suspected on the basis of clinical data (e.g., serum PSA level >20 ng/ml, Gleason score 8–10, locally advanced tumor evident by palpation and/or MRI) [1-3]. Beheshti et al. [11] evaluated $^{18}$F-fluorocholine PET/CT for pre-treatment staging of prostate cancer in 130 intermediate- and high-risk patients, and concluded that results obtained with $^{18}$F-fluorocholine PET/CT would have prompted a change of therapy in 15% of all patients and 20% of the high-risk patients.

Although choline PET/CT shows better performance than conventional CT or MR imaging for detection of Loco-Regional Lymph Node (LN) metastasis, it does not reach an optimal detection rate in comparison with a lymphadenectomy, thus it is not expected to replace that procedure. In the largest reported preoperative series ($n = 210$) of intermediate- and high-risk patients who had undergone a Radical Prostatectomy (RP) with surgical LN dissection, Poulsen et al. [12] reported that patient-based sensitivity and specificity for detection of pelvic LN metastasis by $^{18}$F-fluorocholine PET/CT were 73% and 88%, respectively, with node-based sensitivity and specificity of 56% and 94%, respectively. The sensitivity of choline PET/CT for nodal prostate cancer metastasis appears to be related to the size of the pathologic node. Two other groups reported a 0% detection rate for nodes <2 mm in size, while that was 25–30% for nodes sized 2–4.9 mm, 33–43% detection for nodes sized 5–9.9 mm, and 77–90% for nodes measuring at least 10 mm [13,14].

Choline PET/CT has been shown to be a useful modality for detection of bone metastasis. Picchio et al. [15] directly compared $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT and bone scintigraphy for detection of bone metastasis in 78 patients with PSA progression after primary treatment, and demonstrated that $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT exhibited an equivalent sensitivity but higher specificity. In their study, patient-based sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT were 89%, 98–100%, and 95–96%, respectively, while those for bone scintigraphy were 70–100%, 75–100%, and 83–90%, respectively. Occasionally, osteoblastic lesions identified by bone scintigraphy show no choline uptake (false-negative PET result) [15,16], thus it is important to note any osteoblastic change in the CT portion of a PET/CT examination. Beheshti et al. [17] reported sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 79%, 97%, and 84% for detection of bone metastasis using $^{18}$F-fluorocholine PET/CT in 70 patients who were undergoing either initial staging or restaging. They also noted that choline activity tended to vary inversely with the degree of lesion...
sclerosis, as the maximum standard uptake value (SUVmax) for lytic lesions was 11±3.2 and that for sclerotic lesions was 7.8±3.0. Lesions with dense sclerosis shown by CT (>825 Hounsfield units) had no choline activity and were mainly observed in patients being treated with hormone therapy, suggesting healed bone metastasis.

Restaging

In patients with biochemical failure, imaging plays a critical role in distinguishing between local recurrence and distant spread of disease (mostly bone and LN metastasis) when formulating an appropriate treatment strategy. Choline PET/CT is a powerful tool for restaging of biochemically recurrent prostate cancer, particularly in patients with a significantly elevated PSA level. Many reports have discussed the usefulness of choline PET/CT for detecting sites of recurrence in patients with PSA failure (Figure 1 and 2), and choline PET/CT is routinely employed for this purpose at a large number of PET centers in Western countries. Giovacchini et al. evaluated the usefulness of choline PET/CT for detecting sites of disease (mostly bone and LN metastasis) when formulating an appropriate treatment strategy. Choline PET/CT is a powerful tool for restaging of biochemically recurrent prostate cancer, particularly in patients with a significantly elevated PSA level. Many reports have discussed the usefulness of choline PET/CT for detecting sites of recurrence in patients with PSA failure (Figure 1 and 2), and choline PET/CT is routinely employed for this purpose at a large number of PET centers in Western countries. Giovacchini et al. evaluated the findings of $[11C]$-choline PET/CT in 358 patients (PSA 0.23–45.0 ng/ml, median1.27 ng/ml) treated with RP and reported that patient-based sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for restaging of prostate cancer was 85%, 93%, and 89%, respectively, while the percentage of positive scan findings was 19% in patients with a PSA level of 0.23–1 ng/ml, 46% in those with PSA of 1–3 ng/ml, and 82% in those with PSA>3 ng/ml [18]. In a multivariate analysis of 1000 patients with biochemical evidence of recurrence after various treatments (PSA 1.15–11.0 ng/ml, median 3.30 ng/ml), Cimitan et al. [19] revealed that only older age, Gleason score ≥7, systemic chemotherapy, and serum PSA level ≥1 ng/ml were independent predictors of $[11C]$-choline PET/CT positivity. In a systematic review that included meta-analysis of 19 selected studies with a total of 1555 patients revealed a pooled sensitivity of 85.6% and pooled specificity of 92.6 % [20]. In recent years, two other studies revealed that multi-parametric MRI with an endorectal coil is superior for detection of local recurrence after RP relative to choline PET/CT [21,22], indicating that, strictly speaking, the combination of multi-parametric MRI and choline PET/CT should be an ideal tool for restaging of patients with PSA failure. In the future, wider application of integrated PET/MRI can be expected.

PET/MRI

In recent years, interest has been increasing in development of integrated PET/MRI systems, which have become commercially available. PET/MRI has a number of advantages over PET/CT, such as improved soft-tissue contrast, possibility of performing truly simultaneous instead of sequential acquisitions, and availability of sophisticated MRI sequences, such as diffusion and perfusion imaging, as well as functional MRI and MR spectroscopy, which can add important information. Moreover, a significant decrease in radiation exposure is seen with PET/MRI, which is of foremost importance for serial follow-up imaging examinations and in pediatric cases. In addition, several groups have demonstrated the usefulness of PET/MRI for patients with prostate cancer using choline [23,24] or PSMA [25].

Conclusion

Choline PET/CT has been successfully used for restaging of prostate cancer patients with biochemical recurrence of disease after undergoing definitive therapy, especially when serum PSA is >1.0 ng/mL. In selected groups of patients with a high likelihood of regional or bone metastasis, pretreatment choline PET/CT is useful as an accurate and non-invasive staging tool. Nevertheless, it is important to be aware of the advantages and disadvantages of choline PET/CT and MRI for imaging in cases of prostate cancer.
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