Clinics in Oncology

9

Detection of Tumor-Related Biomarkers in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients by Sequencing Circulating Cell-Free DNA

Hao Wang¹, Huabang Zhou¹, Xiaoyan Li¹, Peng Wang¹, Guofang Liu¹, Wendi Liu¹, Liping Lei¹, Nan He² and Heping Hu^{1*}

¹Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Second Military Medical University, China ²Shanghai AccuraGen Biotechnology Co Ltd, China

Abstract

In this study, we sequenced paired Cell-Free DNA (cfDNA) and tumor tissue DNA samples from 16 Hepatitis B Virus (HBV)-infected Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) patients to detect tumor-related biomarkers in cfDNA samples. We analyzed a panel of 41 HCC-related target genes and detected 35 mutations among 13 genes in 10 HCC samples as well as 14 mutations among 7 genes in 6 cfDNA samples. The top 6 mutant genes were *TP53* (22.86%), *TERT* (20%), *ALB* (14.29%), *AXIN1* (11.43%), *FLT1* (5.71%) and *ARID1A* (5.71%). The mutation relevance ratios of matched HCC tissues and cfDNA samples were not associated with tumor size or serum α -Fetoprotein (AFP) levels. The *TERT* upstream gene variants, -124C>T (chr5:g.1295228G>A) is the only mutation shared by more than one case. Tumors with *ALB* mutations were associated with more gene mutations than tumors without *ALB* mutations (p=0.021407). Our study demonstrates the feasibility of cfDNA-based sequencing for detecting circulating tumor DNA biomarkers in HCC patients.

Keywords: HCC; cfDNA; Matched; Targeted Sequencing

Introduction

OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

Heping Hu, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200438, China, Tel: 86-21-81887462; E-mail: huehbh@126.com Received Date: 05 Jul 2019 Accepted Date: 29 Jul 2019 Published Date: 02 Aug 2019

Citation:

Wang H, Zhou H, Li X, Wang P, Liu G, Liu W, et al. Detection of Tumor-Related Biomarkers in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients by Sequencing Circulating Cell-Free DNA. Clin Oncol. 2019; 4: 1645.

Copyright © 2019 Heping Hu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Tumor-related mutations are clinically relevant for early diagnosis, targeted therapy as well as for monitoring tumor heterogeneity, metastasis and drug response [1-3]. Circulating cell-free DNA from liquid biopsies are biomarkers useful for early diagnosis, predicting disease progression, and personalized treatment [4,5]. cfDNA analysis is non-invasive and overcomes the limitations of tissue biopsy and tumor heterogeneity [6]. However, cfDNA-based detection is challenging in HCC because of low circulating cfDNA levels, poorly characterized genetic alterations and a high degree of tumor heterogeneity.

HCC is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in China and the leading cause of cancer deaths in Chinese males [7]. Most of the HCC cases are associated with liver cirrhosis linked to hepatitis B or hepatitis C infections [7,8]. In China, the infant HBV vaccination program that has been implemented in high-risk areas since 1986 has shown 95% reduction in HCC deaths in the younger population [7,9]. This showed that HBV infections are linked to HCC.

There has been limited use of Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) technology to investigate HCC. The first sequencing and analysis of the HCC genome in 2011 identified 11,731 tumorenriched somatic mutations [10]. Moreover, HCV-, HBV- and alcohol-associated HCC have also been analyzed by NGS [11-13]. The COSMIC database (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/) shows 3187 oncogenic mutations that are linked to HCC. The top five mutated genes in HCC are *TP53* (27%), *TERT* (26%), *CTNNB1* (19%), *AXIN1* (8%), and *ARID2* (7%) [14]. Multiple chromatin regulators, such as *ARID1A*, *ARID1B* and *ARID2* are mutated in nearly 50% of tumors [11], suggesting the crucial role of the *ARID* family in HCC. Moreover, HBV integration into the *TERT* locus confers growth advantage in HCC [11-13].

Peripheral blood cell-free DNA (cfDNA) testing has been successfully used for monitoring minimal residue disease and molecular markers of target therapy in lung and colorectal cancers [15,16]. However, cfDNA based testing has not been fully evaluated in HCC individuals. Recently, several studies reported the effectiveness of sorafenib, a multi-kinase inhibited approved by the Food and Drug Administration, in HCC patients using different potential biomarkers [8,17-19].

Case No.	Sex (M/F)	Age (years)	Complicated	Tumor Size (cm ³)	ALB (g/L)	AFP (µg/L)	TNM Stage	Operation date	TACE	Relapse	Metastasis	Death
1	М	71	B(4)+C	1645.37	34.5	241.3	IIIC	2013-3-19	-	-	-	2017-9- 4(C)
2	М	52	B(20)	168.10	44.9	5.7	I	2013-3-19	2013-4-26	-	-	-
3	М	60	B(5)+C	254.856	39.3	>1210	I	2013-2-6	2013-3-19	2016-7-1	-	-
4	М	47	B(10)	142.08	41.5	4.7	I	2013-2-28	2013-4-15	-	-	-
5	М	77	B(L)	1375.89	36.6	603.7	IIIC	2013-3-18	-	-	-	-
6	М	50	B(8)+C	37.44	38	785.1	I	2013-3-26	-	-	-	2013-12-29
7	М	66	B(L)	133.28	35.9	54.7	I	2013-3-4	2013-4-19	2013-7-1	2014-7-1	2014-8-9
8	М	42	B(42)	87.204	41.1	224	I	2013-4-1	-	2013-9-4	2014-12-1	2016-3-3
9	М	51	B(10)	304.92	43.7	1.9	I	2013-2-19	-	-	-	-
10	М	47	B(20)+C	112.48	45.8	236.5	I	2013-2-1	2013-3-15	-	-	-
11	М	59	B(20)+C	602.615	39.9	14.9	П	2013-1-17	2013-3-4	-	-	-
12	М	58	B(30)	74.52	42.2	7.3	I	2013-2-21	2013-3-29	-	-	-
13	М	39	B(20)	52.08	41.1	111.7	IIIB	2013-2-25	2013-3-29	2014-6-13	-	-
14	М	46	B(20)+C	687.96	42.1	112.2	IIIC	2013-3-5	2013-4-12	2013-9-29	2013-8-2	2014-2-8
15	F	32	B(L)	851.904	43.9	653.6	IIIA	2013-3-19	2013-3-26	2013-6-26	2015-8-24	-
16	М	61	B(10)	469.476	34.9	>1210	I	2013-6-6	-	-	-	2017-5- 15(H)

Table 1: Clinicopathological characteristics of the 16 HCC patients.

Abbreviations: B: Hepatitis B; L: Latent Hepatitis B; C: Cirrhosis: ALB: Albumin; AFP: Alpha Fetoprotein; TACE: Transarterial Chemoembolization; H: Heart Disease

Moreover, several pre-clinical trials are underway for novel targeted therapies against HCC [20]. Therefore, we investigated the scope of cfDNA sequencing to detect gene mutations in 16 paired cfDNA and HCC tumor samples with a panel of 41 target genes.

Methods

Patients and samples

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital (EHSH), Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China. Informed consent was obtained from individual patients. We reviewed the electronic medical records of patients with advanced HCC that were treated at EHSH in 2013. Two pathologists assessed the HCC pathology of the patients independently. The plasma samples were stored at -80°C and the cryopreserved HCC and adjacent non-tumor tissues were obtained from the Bio-Medical Sample Center at EHSH and were prepared as previously reported [42,43]. DNA was extracted from frozen paired HCC and adjacent non-tumor tissues using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Circulating cfDNA was extracted from plasma using the QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) with the QIAvac 24 Plus vacuum manifold according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cfDNA concentration was determined using the Qubit fluorometer.

Study design and NGS sequencing

Mutations in 41 HCC-related genes were analyzed in the DNA samples from plasma and HCC tissue samples by HiSeq-2500⁻ sequencing (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The sequencing data from genome DNA samples from the adjacent non-tumor tissue was used to verify if the mutations were somatic genetic alterations. The panel of 41 HCC-related genes (Accu-Act HCC, Accuragen, Inc.) included hotspot regions of clinical significance (Table 7). This panel spanned about 190 kb of the human genome and covered nearly 70% HCC cases in TCGA database.

Standard NGS method was used to analyze genomic DNA from tumor and adjacent non-tumor tissues as previously described [4]. Briefly, NGS library was constructed with the KAPA sequencing library construction kit (Kapa Biosystems, Boston, MA, USA) using 100 ng of fragmented genomic DNA. Then, the genomic DNA NGS library was captured by the Accu-Act panel (AccuraGen Inc., Shanghai, China) and sequenced with 100 bp paired-end runs on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The average coverage depth for all probes was at least 500X.

Firefly NGS assay (Accu-Act) based on circularized cfDNA technology (AccuraGen Inc., Shanghai, China) was performed on cfDNA samples as previously described [4]. NGS libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The unique sequencing reads were determined by using the AccuraGen proprietary algorithm. The average coverage depth for all probes in plasma was approximately 7,000X.

Germline and somatic variants were identified with the AccuraGen's NGS pipeline [4]. The sequencing data from the cfDNA and tumor genomic DNA was cross-checked with the germline variants from adjacent non-tumor tissue DNA to identify somatic mutations. The allele frequency was calculated by comparing the number of unique reads in the variants to the total number of sequencing reads that map to the position of the variant.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using the SPSS 19.0 statistical software package (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Data was analyzed by two-tailed t-tests. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

We analyzed 16 HCC patients (15 male and 1 female) that were diagnosed with Child-Pugh classification a disease in 2013 and underwent surgical resection at EHSH, Shanghai, China.

Align Art 122 Pre-chrig 2709796/b.T 0.7959% 41.8072% 0 0 stop_guined HIGH AMD/Art 122 Pre-chrig 2004/05_3064/5_3064/1ee/CCGG 0.4615% 52.8428% 0 0 Frameshit HIGH AMM 70233H, chrig 3984/45_3064/70e/CCGG 0.4615% 53.8428% 0 0 9ynorymouls LOW 7953 PS0L51*086, chrig 3759435640/G 0.750706 - 42.4589% 0 - 9ynorymouls LOW AL8 5_prime_urtrs variant chrig 3759435640/G 0.1307% 42.0525% - - 10001FIER AL8 5_prime_urtrs variant chrig 37579435640/G 0.1307% 42.5625% - - missense MODERATE AL8 Zintron, variant chrig 3757120C>A - 45.4035% - - missense MODERATE AL8 V784, chrig 3727120C>A - 30.7307% - - missense MODERATE AL8 V784, chrig 37282404/G - 31.677% - - missense MODERATE AL8 X878, chrig 372742502A 1.0239% 77.855% - - </th <th>Case</th> <th>Mutation</th> <th>cfDNA AF</th> <th>tDNA AF</th> <th>ClinVar</th> <th>COSMIC</th> <th>Mutation type</th> <th>Impact</th>	Case	Mutation	cfDNA AF	tDNA AF	ClinVar	COSMIC	Mutation type	Impact
AFM Control Co		ARID1A K1129Ter, chr1:g.27097796A>T	0.7559%	41.8072%	-	-	stop_gained	HIGH
AMM 0238H, chrl6g.398647C>0 0.4615% 0.5121% 0. misenee MODERATE AMM F231E, chrl6g.398660A>G 0.6186% 58.868% 0. 0.0 9ymorymoue LOW AMM F231E, chrl6g.396660A>G 0.6186% 58.868% 0.0 0.0 Hinde HIGH AL85.prime_UTR_variant, chrl6g.1250286A 0.1397% 42.5825% 0.0 0.0 MODERATE ANUZ chrl6g.3044727417.0C 0.1397% 45.6486% 162.89345760 COSM10779 missense MODERATE ANUZ chrl6g.30456240T 0.1 30.392% 0.0 MODERATE MODERATE ANUZ chrl6g.3045624 0.1 30.392% 0.0 MODERATE MODERATE ANUZ chrl6g.3045624 0.1 30.392% 0.0 Missense MODERATE Chrl6g.104.pring.11371574304562 0.1323% 7.6858768 0.0 MODERATE Chrl6g.104.pring.11371574 0.1 34.327% Fall Missense MODERATE Chrl6g.104.pring.11371574 0.1 1.1 MODERATE MODERATE C		AXIN1 Q235HfsTer12, chr16:g.396455_396471delCCTGG ATTTCGGTCTGG	0.1666%	52.8428%	-	-	frameshift	HIGH
AVM F 231-, ch 10:g.380e80A-G 0.6180% 59.8089% 1 1 symorymous LOW F82, ch 17:g.757/480c/A - 4.48989% - - 4.48989% - - 4.48989% - - 4.48989% - - - HIGH TP32 F98156738, ch 17:g.7574368061G 0.1397% 42.5828% - - 5.prime_UTR, within, ch 4.5, 12582286-A - 64.1038% - - MODIFIER RAD2 intron_variant ch 12:g.462348840µT - 40.80486% re23943576% COSM107799 missense MODERATE LAB VTRA, ch 41:g.742724417-C - 30.701% - - missense MODERATE LAB VTRA, ch 41:g.742724417-C - 30.701% - - - MODERATE LAB VTRA, ch 41:g.728397462-C 10.233% 77.855% - - - MODERATE COSM2A D74V, ch 9:g.21971137T-A - 32.872% F3.51384 MODERATE - - - - - - - -		AXIN1 Q233H, chr16:g.396474C>G	0.4615%	59.1121%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
Image: Figs and Fig. 3774988C>A Image: Figs and Fig. 377498C>A Image: Figs and Fig. 377498C>A Image: Fig. 377497A Image: Fig. 377497A Image: Fig. 37747877A Image: Fig. 37747877A Image: Fig. 37747877A Image: Fig. 37747877A Image: Fig. 3774777A Image: Fig. 377477A	1	AXIN1 F231=, chr16:g.396480A>G	0.6186%	59.8698%	-	-	synonymous	LOW
TPS3 PSLUSTer.38, chr17g.7579436del040.0244%IntermeshitHIGHHLB p.mine_UTR_variant.chr4g.7427031A-G0.1397%42.5325%5.prime_UTRMODIFIERTRT upstream_gene_variant.chr4g.7427031A-G30.392%IntranMODIFIERARID2 intern_variant.chr12g.462304894pT30.392%IntranMODIFIERTPS3 R273L, chr17g.7577120C>A30.701%CIntranMODEFATELB UTRA, chr4g.742741T>C30.701%CIntranMODEFATELB UTRA, chr4g.742822274825236hAG1.029%77.865%CIntransMODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A34.27%CIntransenseMODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A39.872%COSM1476IntransenseMODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A39.872%COSM45719IntermeMODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A39.872%COSM457198COSM45719MODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A39.872%COSM4500IntermeMODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A39.82%C-IntermeMODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A9.1827%COSM4500IntermeMODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A9.1827%COSM45719MODEFATEC/M2A D74V, chr9g.2197113T>A2.2082%81.527%C-IntermeMODEFATETP		<i>TP53</i> , chr17:g.7577498C>A	-	42.4698%	-	-	splice_donor	HIGH
$ALB 5$ prime_UTR_variant, chr4g.74270031A-G 0.1397% 42.592% S. prime_UTR MODIFIER TRFT upstream_gene_variant, chr5g.12952280-A 54.103% MODIFIER ARR02 intro_variant chr12.g.462304880µT 50.30328% MODIFIER TP53 R273L, chr17.g.757120C-A 45.6486% ra289345760 COSM10790 missense MODERATE ALB price_acceptor_variant 31.677% missense MODERATE HGF Y167N, chr3g 3132874G-C 1.023% 77.8858% missense MODERATE CDMM2A D74V, chr9g 21971137T-A 29.872% COSM1346P missense MODERATE ALB Staffs R88841, chr4.g.74285325, 742853560elCTG 73.788% missense MODERATE ALB ASS, Staffs R88481, chr4.g.7427845355, 74285356, 74285356 73.788% missense MODERATE ALB ASS, Staffs R88481, chr4.g.74278475A 91.0867%		TP53 P85LfsTer38, chr17:g.7579436delG	-	40.0244%	-	-	frameshift	HIGH
Image: Terr upstream_gene_variant_chr5g.1295228G>AImage: AImage: A <th< td=""><td></td><td>ALB 5_prime_UTR_variant, chr4:g.74270031A>G</td><td>0.1397%</td><td>42.5925%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>5_prime_UTR</td><td>MODIFIER</td></th<>		ALB 5_prime_UTR_variant, chr4:g.74270031A>G	0.1397%	42.5925%	-	-	5_prime_UTR	MODIFIER
AR02 intron_variant chr1:2;46230489dupT1.30.3932%1.1.1.MODIFIER1758 R273L, chr1:7;7577120C>A1.45.6489%rc2893467di)COSM1079pMinsenseMODERATE180 78A, chr4:3;74272417D1.30.7901%1.1.MinsenseMODERATE140 78B, chr4:3;74272417D1.023931.677%1.MinsenseMODERATE141 787, dhr4;3;74285223delAG1.023971.6855%1.1.MinsenseMODERATE145 71671, dhr3;381362A>T1.023977.6855%1.COSM13540PMODERATE146 71671, dhr3;381362A>T0.1927%78.818%r161571998COSM4571PMODERATE146 71671, dhr3;7828326, dhr3;7428533640CT0.1927%78.198%r161571998COSM4571PMODERATE147 81341, dhr1;737578268A>C0.1927%78.198%r161571998COSM4561PMODERATE148 743, dhr3;7428533640CT0.1927%78.198%r161571998COSM4561PMODERATE149 7494, dhr3;7373425342.2082%88.1527%r587778720COSM4561MODERATE140 747194045147540.568641.728836.158MinsenseMODERATE141 747194045147540.568641.5285%1.587778720COSM4560MInsenseMODERATE141 7471940451490.568641.728831.58787GOSM4560MInsenseMODERATE141 7471940451490.19281.588781.587878GOSM4560MInsenseMODERATE142 747941964, chr1;2,r57754147A0.49781.		TERT upstream_gene_variant, chr5:g.1295228G>A	-	54.1035%	-	-	upstream_gene	MODIFIER
P53 R273L, chrlf*g.7577120C>A Image MODERATE ALB V76A, chrlf*g.742724417>C Image 30.790% Image MODERATE ALB V76A, chrlf*g.742724417>C Image 30.790% Image MoDERATE ALB V76A, chrlf*g.742724417>C Image Splice_acceptor HIGH Image MODERATE Splice_acceptor HIGH Image MODERATE Splice_acceptor MODERATE Image MODERATE Splice_acceptor MODERATE Image MODERATE Splice_acceptor MODERATE COMUA2 DT4V, chrlig.2197113T>A Image MODERATE MODERATE CARDAR, chrlig.747283252,74283386deICT Image Splice_acceptor MODERATE TPS3 L194R, chrlig.747283252,74283386deICT Image Splice_acceptor MODERATE TPS3 L194R, chrlig.747234710,747241245 Image MODERATE MODERATE TPS3 L194R, chrlig.74283525,74283386deICT Image MODERATE MODERATE TPS3 L194R, chrlig.7428322,7428338640T Image MODERATE MODERATE TPS1 Valstamalisterid		ARID2 intron_variant chr12:g.46230488dupT	-	30.9392%	-	-	intron	MODIFIER
ALB VTBA, chri-g.74272411T>CImage: MODERATEALB VTBA, chri-g.74272411T>CImage: MODERATEALB Splice_acceptor_viniant chri-g.74826227_74282523464QImage: MODERATEALB Splice_acceptor_viniant chri-g.74826227_7428252364AQImage: MODERATEALB VTBA, chri-g.13381562A>TImage: MODERATEGANN2A DrVA, chri-g.13381562A>TImage: MODERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.21971137T>AImage: MODERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.21971137T>AImage: MODERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.21971137T>AImage: MoDERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.21971137T>AImage: MoDERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.21982526_rV4285325_74285336deICTGImage: MoDERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.21982526MODERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.2198242MODERATECOMN2A DrVA, chri-g.2198242 <td></td> <td><i>TP5</i>3 R273L, chr17:g.7577120C>A</td> <td>-</td> <td>45.6486%</td> <td>rs28934576/lp</td> <td>COSM10779/p</td> <td>missense</td> <td>MODERATE</td>		<i>TP5</i> 3 R273L, chr17:g.7577120C>A	-	45.6486%	rs28934576/lp	COSM10779/p	missense	MODERATE
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ALB V78A, chr4:g.74272441T>C	-	30.7901%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
Image: https://withintitized.op/19/19/19/19/19/19/19/19/19/19/19/19/19/	2	ALB splice_acceptor_variant chr4:g.74285222_74285223deIAG	-	31.677%	-	-	splice_acceptor	HIGH
Hef Y167N, chr7:g.81381562A>TI.34.327%I.I.Image massesMODERATECDKW2A D74V, chr9:g.21971137T>AI.29.8726%I.COSM13546PImisenseMODERATEP33.1194R, chr17:g.7578268A>C0.1927%78.9189%r105751998COSM4571/pImisenseMODERATECGMAGAGGImframe0.1927%73.7286%I.ImframeMODERATECGATAAGGImframe0.885%51.96%I.ImframeMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A0.885%51.96%ImframeImframeMODERATETP53 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A2.2022%88.1527%rS0778720COSM3600ImisenseMODERATECTNNB1 Y604F, chr3:g.41277847>T0.5626%17.2553%ImframeImisenseMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.129529G>AImframeImframeImframeMODERATECTNNB1 Y604F, chr3:g.41277847>T0.5626%17.2553%ImframeImframeMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.129529G>AImframeImframeImframeMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.129529G>AImframeImframeImframeMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.129529G>AImframeImframeImframeMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.129529G>AImframeImframeImframeMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.129529G>AImframeImframeImframeMODERATETERT upsteem_gene_variant chr5:g.129529G>AImframe <t< td=""><td></td><td>TERT P343A, chr5:g.1293974G>C</td><td>1.0239%</td><td>77.6855%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>missense</td><td>MODERATE</td></t<>		TERT P343A, chr5:g.1293974G>C	1.0239%	77.6855%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
CDKW2 D74V, ch:g. 21971137T>AIS9.872%ICOSM13546/PmissenseMODERATEAP531194R, chr17g 757828BAC0.1927%78.9189%rs105751999COSM44571/pmissenseMODERATEALB A585, K588del, chr4.g. 74285325_74285338delCTGI73.7288%IIInframeMODERATETERT upstream_gene_variant chr5.g. 1295228G>A0.885%51.98%IIIImframeMODERATE78728213L, chr11.g. 7578211C>A0.885%81.527%rs58777820COSM43650missenseMODERATE78738213L, chr11.g. 7578211C>A0.5626%17.2583%IIImframeMODERATE7874719956604pT0.5626%17.2583%IIImframeMODERATE7874719479474370.5626%17.2583%IIImframeMODERATE7874719577542172A47A570.5626%17.2583%IIImframeMODERATE787471957710070.4975%19.5364%IIImframeMODERATE78747195773373734C>A0.4975%19.5364%IIImframeMODERATE79847439, chr17.g.757334C>A0.4975%15.8027%IIImframeMODERATE79757779537937975IIIImframeMODERATE79757777777777777777777777777777777777		HGF Y167N, chr7:g.81381562A>T	-	34.327%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
AsPF31194R, chr17:g.7578268A>C0.1927%78.9189%rs105751998COSM4571/pmissenseMODERATEALB AS55_KS88del, chr4:g.74285325_74285336de/CG1.73.72881.1.1.MODERATEALB AS55_KS88del, chr4:g.74285325_74285336de/CG0.885%51.98%1.1.upstream_geneMODIFIERTERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A0.885%51.98%1.1.1.MODERATETP53 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A2.2082%88.1527%rs587778720COSM43650missenseMODERATETP53 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A0.5626%17.2583%1.1.upstream_geneMODIFIERTP53 R240G, chr3:g.41277847A>T0.5626%17.2583%1.0.upstream_geneMODIFIERTRT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.129520G>A5.2288%27.9707%1.0.upstream_geneMODIFIERAlVIN splice_donor_variant, chr15:g.129520G>A5.2288%27.9707%1.0.upstream_geneMODIFIERMAINT splice_donor_variant, chr15:g.1295228G>A0.4552%14.2857%rs28934571COSM10107missenseMODERATEP6782 Y1035, chr17:g.75734C>A0.4552%15.8207%rs28934571COSM1123/pmissenseMODERATEP6782 Y1035, chr17:g.75739797>G1.1.1.1.mitsonMODERATEP6782 Y1135, chr10:g.12323402T>A1.1.1.1.intronMODERATEP6782 Y1135, chr10:g.12323402T>A1.1.1.1.intronMODERATE		<i>CDKN2A</i> D74V, chr9:g.21971137T>A	-	29.8726%	-	COSM13546/P	missense	MODERATE
3 ALB A585_K580del, chr4:g.742853325_74285336delCTG 73.7288% Inframe MODERATE 7ER7 upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A 0.885% 51.98% Inframe MODIFIER 4 ARID1A M841IfsTer31, chr1:g.27089566dupT 91.0867% S587778720 COSM43650 missense MODERATE 753 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A 2.2082% 88.1527% r5887778720 COSM43650 missense MODERATE 767 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A 0.5626% 17.2583% MODERATE 770 The S1 vpstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295260S>A 61.5545% COSM10810 upstream_gene MODIFIER 78 TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295280S>A 5.2286% 27.9707% I I MODERATE 78 TP53 s2498, chr17:g.7577534C>A 0.4955% 19.538457 COSM10817 missense MODERATE 79 TP53 s2498, chr17:g.757734C>A 0.2375% 15.8027% rs28334571 COSM10817 missense MODERATE		TP53 L194R, chr17:g.7578268A>C	0.1927%	78.9189%	rs1057519998	COSM44571/p	missense	MODERATE
TERT upstream_gene_variant ohr5:g.1296228G>A 0.885% 51.98% - - upstream_gene MODIFIRE ARID1A M841lfsTer31, chr1:g.27089566dupT - 91.0867% rs587778720 COSM43650 missense MODERATE TF53 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A 2.2082% 88.1527% rs587778720 COSM43650 missense MODERATE TF53 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A 0.5626% 17.2583% - - missense MODERATE TER7 upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295250G>A - 61.5545% - - upstream_gene MODFIRE AXIN1 splice_donor_variant, chr16:g.396147C>T 0.4975% 19.5364% - - splice_donor HIGH TF53 R249S, chr17:g.7577542 0.4975% 19.5364% - - splice_donor MODFIRE TF53 R249S, chr17:g.7577540 0.4975% 19.5364% - - - splice_donor MODFRATE TF53 R249S, chr17:g.7577500T>C 0.4975% 15.8027% rs753660142 COSM11123/ missense MODERATE FL7 intron_variant, chr13:g.	3	ALB A585_K588del, chr4:g.74285325_74285336delCTG ACGATAAGG	-	73.7288%	-	-	inframe	MODERATE
ARID1A M841IfsTer31, chr1:g.27089566dupT191.0867%If rameshiftHIGH7F33 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A2.2082%88.1527%rs587778720COSM43650missenseMODERATE7F33 R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A0.5626%17.2583%missenseMODERATE7ER7 upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295250G>A6.15545%upstream_geneMODIFIER57ER7 upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295250G>A5.2288%27.9707%upstream_geneMODIFIER67F53 R249S, chr17:g.7577534C>A0.4975%19.5364%splice_donorHIGH77F53 R249S, chr17:g.7577534C>A0.4955%14.2857%rs28934571COSM10817missenseMODERATE8RAF 3_prime_UTR_variant, chr7:g.140425328C>A0.2375%15.8027%rs75366142COSM11120missenseMODERATE77F53 R280G, chr17:g.7577100T>C.89.4197%rs75366142COSM11120missenseMODERATE87F53 Y103S, chr10:g.123239402T>A.16.0234%missenseMODERATEFL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T.18.5915%MODERATEFL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T.18.5915%MODERATEFL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.2896390G>T18.552%<		TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A	0.885%	51.98%	-	-	upstream_gene	MODIFIER
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ARID1A M841lfsTer31, chr1:g.27089566dupT	-	91.0867%	-	-	frameshift	HIGH
$ \frac{1}{12} \left[\frac{CTNNB1 Y604F, chr3:g.41277847A>T}{TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295250G>A} - 61.5545\% 0 upstream_gene_MODERATE 0.5526% - 0 upstream_gene_MODERATE 0.5526% - 0 upstream_gene_MODERATE 0.5526% - 0 upstream_gene_MODIFIER 0.5526% - 0 upstrea$	4	<i>TP53</i> R213L, chr17:g.7578211C>A	2.2082%	88.1527%	rs587778720	COSM43650	missense	MODERATE
TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295250G>A-61.5545%upstream_geneMODIFIRe5TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A5.2288%27.9707%upstream_geneMODIFIRe6AXIV1 splice_donor_variant, chr16:g.396147C>T0.4975%19.5364%splice_donorHIGH7TP53 R249S, chr17:g.7577534C>A0.4955%14.2857%rs28934571COSM10817missenseMODERATE8RAF 3_prime_UTR_variant, chr7:g.140425328C>A0.2375%15.8027%MODERATE7TP53 R280G, chr17:g.757730T>C89.4197%rs753660142COSM11123%missenseMODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7579379T>G15.0234%MODERATE7TP53 R280G, chr17:g.757930PT>G15.0234%MODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.757930PT>G18.5915%	4	<i>CTNNB1</i> Y604F, chr3:g.41277847A>T	0.5626%	17.2583%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
5TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A5.2288%27.970%upstream_geneMODIFIRAXIN1 splice_donor_variant, chr16:g.396147C>T0.4975%19.5364%MODERATETP53 R249S, chr17:g.7577534C>A0.4955%14.2857%rs28934571COSM10817missenseMODERATEBRAF 3_prime_UTR_variant, chr7:g.140425328C>A0.2375%15.8027%MODERATE70TP53 R280G, chr17:g.7577100T>C89.4197%rs753660142COSM11123/pmissenseMODERATE81TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7579379T>G15.0234%MODERATE82TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7593979T>G18.5015%MODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7593902T>A18.5015%MODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7593905PMODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7593905PMODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7593905PMODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.759391SGMODERATE8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.759391SGMODERATE8TP51 Y101C_		TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295250G>A	-	61.5545%	-	-	upstream_gene	MODIFIER
AXIN1 splice_donor_variant, chr16:g.396147C>T0.4975%19.5364%1.1.splice_donorHIGH7753R249S, chr17:g.757753C>A0.4552%14.2857%rs28934571COSM10817missenseMODERATE88AF 3.prime_UTR_variant, chr7:g.140425328C>A0.2375%15.8027%1.COSM111230missenseMODERATE77P53 R280G, chr17:g.7579379T>G.89.4197%rs75360142COSM111230missenseMODERATE87P53 Y103S, chr10:g.123239402T>A.15.0234%missenseMODERATE8FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A.18.5915%missenseMODERATE8FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A.20.4166%MODERATE8FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A.20.4166%MODERATE8FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A20.4166%MODERATE8FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>AMODERATE8FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A <td>5</td> <td>TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A</td> <td>5.2288%</td> <td>27.9707%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>upstream_gene</td> <td>MODIFIER</td>	5	TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A	5.2288%	27.9707%	-	-	upstream_gene	MODIFIER
6 753 R249S, chr17:g.757754C>A 0.4552% 14.2857% $rs28934571$ $COSM10817$ missense MODERATE RAF 3_prime_UTR_variant, chr7:g.140425328C>A 0.2375% 15.8027% $rs753660142$ $COSM11123/p$ missense MODERATE 7 $7P53$ R280G, chr17:g.7577100T>C $rs753660142$ $COSM11123/p$ missense MODERATE 8 $7P53$ Y103S, chr17:g.7577307T>G $rs753660142$ $COSM11123/p$ missense MODERATE $RGFR2$ Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A $rs75382036$ $rs75382036$ $rs75382036$ $rs75382036$ $rs7538203690657$ $rs7538203690657$ $rs7538203690657$ $rs7538203690657$ $rs7538203690657$ $rs7538203690657$ $rs7538203690657$ $rs7538203659611322047A$ $rs75382036390657$ $rs753820363690657$ $rs753820363690657$ $rs753820363690657$ $rs753820363690657$ $rs753820363690657$ $rs753820363690657$ $rs753820363690657$ $rs75382056767$ $rs75382056767665666666666666666666666666666666$		AXIN1 splice_donor_variant, chr16:g.396147C>T	0.4975%	19.5364%	-	-	splice_donor	HIGH
BRAF 3_prime_UTR_variant, chr7:g.140425328C>A 0.2375% 15.8027% $ 3_prime_UTR$ MODIFIER 7 753 R280G, chr17:g.7577100T>C $ 89.4197\%$ $rs753660142$ $COSM11123/p$ $missense$ $MODERATE$ 8 7P53 Y103S, chr17:g.7579379T>G $ 15.0234\%$ $ missense$ $MODERATE$ $FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A 8.5915\% missense MODERATE FL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T 21.1111\% missense MODIFIER FL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G>T 20.4166\% mistorn MODIFIER FL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G>T 20.4166\% mistorn MODIFIER FL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G>T 18.552\% TFT Inform_variant, chr13:g.289613690G>T 13.1884\% -$	6	<i>TP53</i> R249S, chr17:g.7577534C>A	0.4552%	14.2857%	rs28934571	COSM10817	missense	MODERATE
77F53 R280G, chr17:g.7577100T>C-89.4197%rs753660142COSM11123/pmissenseMODERATE87P53 Y103S, chr17:g.7579379T>G-15.0234%missenseMODERATE8FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A-18.5915%missenseMODERATEFL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T-21.1111%intronMODIFIERFL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.289636906>T-20.4166%intronMODIFIERKDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA-18.552%-splice_region &LOWALB F73HISTer66, chr4:g.74272425_74272434deITTG CAAAAA13.1884%frameshiftHIGH10TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A-16.134%upstream_geneMODIFIER11121314		BRAF 3_prime_UTR_variant, chr7:g.140425328C>A	0.2375%	15.8027%	-	-	3_prime_UTR	MODIFIER
8TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7579379T>G-15.0234%-missenseMODERATEFGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A-18.5915%missenseMODERATEFLT1 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T-21.1111%intronMODIFIERFLT1 intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G>T-20.4166%intronMODIFIERKDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA-18.552%-intronMODIFIERKDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA-18.552%-intronILOWALB F73HfsTer65, chr4:g.74272425_74272434delTTTG CAAAAA-18.1884%HIGHTERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G-16.134%missenseMODIFIER10TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A11121314	7	<i>TP</i> 53 R280G, chr17:g.7577100T>C	-	89.4197%	rs753660142	COSM11123/p	missense	MODERATE
FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A-18.5915%missenseMODERATEFL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T-21.1111%intronMODIFIERFL71 intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G>T-20.4166%intronMODIFIERKDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA-18.552%Splice_region & intronLOWALB F73HfsTer65, chr4:g.74272425_74272434delTTTG CAAAAA-18.1884%frameshiftHIGHTERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G-16.134%missenseMODERATE10TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A-27.7214%-upstream_geneMODIFIER111314	8	TP53 Y103S, chr17:g.7579379T>G	-	15.0234%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
FLT1 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T-21.111%intronMODIFIERFLT1 intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G>T-20.4166%intronMODIFIERKDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA118.552%Splice_region & intronLOWALB F73HfsTer65, chr4:g.74272425_74272434delTTTG CAAAAA113.1884%frameshiftHIGHTERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G-16.134%missenseMODIFIER10TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A-27.7214%11121314		FGFR2 Y813F, chr10:g.123239402T>A	-	18.5915%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c } FLT1 \ \text{intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G} > T & - & 20.4166\% & - & - & \text{intron} & \text{MODIFIER} \\ \hline KDR \ \text{splice_region_variant&intron_variant,} & - & 18.552\% & - & - & \text{splice_region & intron} & LOW \\ \hline KDR \ \text{splice_region_variant&intron_variant,} & - & 18.552\% & - & - & & \text{splice_region & intron} & LOW \\ \hline ALB \ F73HSTer65, chr4:g.74272425_74272434delTTTG \\ CAAAAA & & TERT \ L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G & - & 16.134\% & - & - & & \text{missense} & MODERATE \\ \hline 10 & TERT \ upstream_gene_variant \ chr5:g.1295228G>A & - & 27.7214\% & - & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		FLT1 intron_variant, chr13:g.28961898A>T	-	21.1111%	-	-	intron	MODIFIER
9KDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA118.552%-splice_region & intronLOWALB F73HfsTer65, chr4:g.74272425_7427243ddelTTTG CAAAAA-13.1884%frameshiftHIGHTERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G-16.134%missenseMODERATE10TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A-27.7214%-upstream_geneMODIFIER11121314		FLT1 intron_variant, chr13:g.28963690G>T	-	20.4166%	-	-	intron	MODIFIER
ALB F73HfsTer65, chr4:g.74272425_7427243delTTTG CAAAAA13.1884%-Image: frameshiftHIGHTERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>GImage: frameshiftMODERATE10TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>AImage: frameshiftUpstream_geneMODIFIER11Image: frameshiftImage: frameshiftMODIFIERImage: frameshiftMODIFIER12Image: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshift13Image: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshiftImage: frameshift14Image: frameshiftImage: frameshift <td>9</td> <td>KDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA</td> <td>-</td> <td>18.552%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>splice_region & intron</td> <td>LOW</td>	9	KDR splice_region_variant&intron_variant, chr4:g.55961132dupA	-	18.552%	-	-	splice_region & intron	LOW
TERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G - 16.134% - - missense MODERATE 10 TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A - 27.7214% - - MODIFIER 11 - - - - - - MODIFIER 12 - - - - - - - 13 - - - - - - -		ALB F73HfsTer65, chr4:g.74272425_74272434delTTTG CAAAAA	-	13.1884%	-	-	frameshift	HIGH
10 TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A - 27.7214% - upstream_gene MODIFIER 11 - <td></td> <td>TERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G</td> <td>-</td> <td>16.134%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>missense</td> <td>MODERATE</td>		TERT L810P, chr5:g.1271273A>G	-	16.134%	-	-	missense	MODERATE
11 - - - - - - - 12 - - - - - - - - 13 - - - - - - - - 14 - - - - - - - -	10	TERT upstream_gene_variant chr5:g.1295228G>A	-	27.7214%	-	-	upstream_gene	MODIFIER
12 13 	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 - - - - - - 14 - - - - - -	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2: Mutation list from 16 HCC patients.

Abbreviations: AF: Allele Frequencies; tDNA: Tumor DNA; cfDNA: Circulating Free DNA

Thirteen of these sixteen patients were hepatitis B-infected for 4 to 42 years, whereas, 3 patients were diagnosed with latent hepatitis B based on HBV immunological testing. Six of these patients showed complications due to liver cirrhosis, whereas, ten patients experienced Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization (TACE). Of the six patients that experienced relapse, four progressed to metastatic disease. As shown in Table 1, six patients died by September 30; 4 died from HCC, 1 succumbed to liver cirrhosis and 1 died from heart disease.

Table 3: Tumor size and mutation relevance ratio.

	La	rge size group		Small size group				
Case No.	Tumor size (cm ³)	tDNA mutation No.	cfDNA mutation No.	Case No.	Tumor size (cm ³)	tDNA Mutation No.	cfDNA Mutation No.	
15	851.904	0	0	2	168.1	7	1	
1	1645.37	8	5	10	112.48	1	0	
5	1375.89	1	1	12	74.52	0	0	
11	602.615	0	0	7	133.28	1	1	
9	304.92	6	0	4	142.08	4	2	
14	687.96	0	0	8	87.204	1	0	
16	469.476	0	0	13	52.08	0	0	
3	254.856	3	2	6	37.44	3	3	
Mean ± SD	774.124 ± 499.526	2.250 ± 3.151	1.000 ± 1.773	Mean ± SD	100.898 ± 45.807	2.125 ± 2.416	0.875 ± 1.126	

P-value (tDNA Mutation No.): 0.930397838 P-value (cfDNA Mutation No.): 0.869149176

Table 4: Liver cirrhosis in HCC and mutation relevance ratio.

	HCC patients with cirr	rhosis	HCC patients without cirrhosis				
Case No.	tDNA mutation No.	cfDNA mutation No.	Case No.	tDNA mutation No.	cfDNA mutation No.		
1	8	5	2	7	1		
3	3	2	4	4	2		
6	3	3	5	1	1		
10	1	0	7	1	1		
11	0	0	8	1	0		
14	0	0	9	6	0		
			12	0	0		
			13	0	0		
			15	0	0		
			16	0	0		
Mean ± SD	2.500 ± 3.017	1.667 ± 2.066	Mean ± SD	2.000 ± 2.667	0.500 ± 0.707		

P-value (tDNA Mutation No.): 0.744825513

P-value (cfDNA Mutation No.): 0.231915251

Molecular profiling

Ten out of 16 (62.5%) HCC tissue specimens showed at least one mutation. Overall, we detected 35 mutations distributed among 13 genes. Six cfDNA samples also showed at least one mutation, which were also found in the corresponding HCC tumor specimens. The top 6 mutant genes included *TP53* (22.86%), *TERT* (20%), *ALB* (14.29%), *AXIN1* (11.43%), *FLT1* (5.71%) and *ARID1A* (5.71%). As shown in Table 2, the *TERT* upstream gene variant (chr5: g. 1295228G>A) was reported in more than one patient.

Eleven of the 35 mutations were reported in the ClinVar and/or COSMIC databases (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/, http:// cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/) (Table 2). These 35 mutations were classified as modifiers (10/35), moderate (15/35), high (8/35) and low (2/35) impact mutations. The high impact variants included stop gained, frame shift, splice donor and splice acceptor mutations. The modifier variants included 5'-UTR, 3'-UTR, intronal and upstream gene mutations. The moderate variants were all missense mutations. Low-impact variants showed mutations in the splice region and introns (Table 2).

Statistical analysis

The differences between mutation relevance ratios of different tumor tissues (relative to tumor size) were evaluated by t-test. The patients were divided into 2 groups based on tumor size. The large tumor size group included cases 15, 1, 5, 11, 9, 14, 16 and 3, which ranged from 254.856 m³ (case 3) to 1645.371 m³ (case 1). We detected 22 mutations from 4 cases (2.250 \pm 3.151 mutations/case). The smaller size group included cases 2, 10, 12, 7, 4, 8, 13 and 6, which ranged from 37.44 m³ (case 6) to 168.1 m³ (case 2). We detected 17 mutations from 5 cases (2.125 \pm 2.416 mutations/case). The tumor size difference was nearly 6 times between the larger and the smaller groups (p=0.001198). However, the mutation relevance ratio between the 2 groups was similar (p=0.930198). Moreover, the mutation relevance ratio between the cfDNA of the two groups was also similar (p=0.869149; Table 3).

We detected mutations in the tumor tissues of 4 out of 6 HCC patients with liver cirrhosis. Moreover, 3 out of 4 tumor samples with mutations showed the corresponding mutation in the cfDNA. We detected mutations in the tumor tissues of 6 out of 10 patients without cirrhosis and 4 of these 6 patients showed the corresponding mutation in the cfDNA. However, the mutation relevance ratios in both the tumor DNA (p=0.744826>0.05) and cfDNA (p=0.231915>0.05) were not significant (Table 4). Moreover, there was no association between the mutations and serum AFP levels (Table 5).

We detected 5 *ALB* mutations in 4 tumor samples. However, the plasma *ALB* concentration was similar for patients with or without *ALB* mutations (data not shown). Tumors with *ALB* mutations were

Table 5: AFP and mutation relevance ratio.

	HCC patients with cirr	rhosis	HCC patients without cirrhosis				
Case No.	tDNA mutation No.	cfDNA mutation No.	Case No.	tDNA mutation No.	cfDNA mutation No.		
1	8	5	2	7	1		
3	3	2	4	4	2		
6	3	3	5	1	1		
10	1	0	7	1	1		
11	0	0	8	1	0		
14	0	0	9	6	0		
			12	0	0		
			13	0	0		
			15	0	0		
			16	0	0		
Mean ± SD	2.500 ± 3.017	1.667 ± 2.066	Mean ± SD	2.000 ± 2.667	0.500 ± 0.707		

P-value (tDNA Mutation No.): 0.744825513 P-value (cfDNA Mutation No.): 0.231915251

Table 6: ALB mutations and mutation relevance ratio.

	HCC patients with ALB m	nutations	HCC patients without ALB mutations			
Case No.	Case No. tDNA mutation No. cfDNA mutation No.		Case No.	tDNA mutation No.	cfDNA mutation No.	
1	8	5	4	4	2	
2	7	1	5	1	1	
3	3	2	6	3	3	
9	6	0	7	1	0	
			8	1	0	
			10	1	0	
Mean ± SD	6.000 ± 2.160	2.000 ± 2.160	Mean ± SD	1.833 ± 1.329	1.000 ± 1.265	

P-value (tDNA mutation No.): 0.021407136

P-value (cfDNA mutation No.): 0.446618403

associated with additional gene mutations than tumors without *ALB* mutations (p=0.021407). However, the differences were not significant between the two groups in the corresponding cfDNA samples (p=0.446618; Table 6). The leading mutant genes such as *TP53* and *TERT* were not associated with the mutation relevance ratios (data not shown).

Discussion

To assess the mutation relevance ratio in HCC cfDNA samples, we analyzed 41 HCC-related mutation hotspot genes that span about 190 kb (Table 7) and cover about 70% of HCC cases in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/cancersselected/LiverHepatocellularCarcinoma). To ensure that the mutations in circulating cfDNA were representative of the HCC, we analyzed genomic DNA from HCC and adjacent non-tumor tissues from the same patients with the same panel. Moreover, we used cryopreserved tumor tissues to avoid the bias in Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded (FFPE) tumor sections. We achieved 500X sequencing depth with our approach, which enabled identification of 1% mutations in the HCC tumors.

We detected 35 mutations in 13 genes from 10 out of 16 (62.5%) HCC samples analyzed. This mutation ratio was lower than the 70% we expected. This was probably because (1) the patients in our study were also diagnosed with hepatitis B-associated HCC and were different from those in the TCGA database and (2) the small sample size may have introduced random bias. Therefore, in future,

Table 7: List of 41 genes in Accu-Act HCC.

ACVR2A	CDKN2A	FGFR3	KMT2B	TEK
ALB	CTNNB1	FLT1	KMT2D	TERT
ARID1A	EGFR	HGF	MET	TP53
ARID2	EPHA4	IL6R	MTOR	VCAM1
AXIN1	ERBB2	JAK1	NFE2L2	VEGFA
BRAF	FGF19	JAK3	PDGFRB	
CCNE1	FGF3	KDR	RB1	
CDK14	FGF4	KEAP1	RPL22	
CDKN1A	FGFR2	KIT	RPS6KA3	

HBV-related HCC sample testing needs to be integrated into the test panel to resolve this issue. The Allele Frequencies (AF) values of all identified mutations were more than 10% (13.19%~91.09%) (Table 2); this suggested that 10% might be a suitable cutoff value in HCC.

The 16 HCC patients enrolled in this study were all HBVinfected. Persistent HBV infection results in viral genome mutations and integration of the viral genome into the human genome, which promotes HCC [7,8]. The prolonged expression of the HBV regulatory protein HBx and/or preS/S viral envelope proteins deregulate cellular transcription and proliferation and promote hepatocyte transformation [21]. Moreover, HBV mutations such as G1896A, A1762T, G1764A, and A1762T/G1764A are linked to increased risk of HCC [22]. The overall HBV integration frequency is much higher in males than in females, which also correlates with higher prevalence of HCC in males than in females [23,24]. Our results suggest that HBV infection is an important causative factor of HCC in China. Therefore, HCC treatment may benefit from antiviral therapies [25]. However, our target panel did not include HBVrelated primers to assay HBV integration index. We detected 7 *TERT* gene mutations, 5 of which were located in the up-stream, including chr5:1295228G>A (COSM1716558) 4 times and chr5:1295250G>A (COSM1716559) once. These 2 mutations are located in the *TERT* promoter and may be linked to upregulation of *TERT* activity. This further shows that HBV infection may play an integral role in HCC tumorigenesis [26,27].

In the COSMIC database, the leading mutations of HCC were *TP53* (27%), *TERT* (26%), *CTNNB1* (19%), *AXIN1* (8%) and *ARID2* (7%) [14]. In a recent study of HBV-associated HCC, the top 6 mutations were *TERT* (65%), *TP53* (38%), *CTNNB1* (35%) and *AXIN1* (2%), *PTEN* (2%) and *CDKN2A* (2%) [24]. In this study, the top mutated genes were *TP53* (22.86%), *TERT* (20%), *ALB* (14.29%), *AXIN1* (11.43%), *FLT1* (5.71%) and *ARID1A* (5.71%). The differences among the studies suggest the heterogeneous nature of HCC, although methodological differences can't be ruled out. Mutations in *ALB* and *FLT1* genes were in the top 6 in our study, but were not highlighted in other studies. On the other hand, we only observed 2.85% of *CTNNB1* mutations (1/35), whereas, *CTNNB1* was the top 5 mutated gene in other studies.

We observed at least one mutation in 10 out of the 16 tumor tissues that were analyzed. The low mutation relevance ratio was not associated with tumor size, cirrhosis, and AFP status (Tables 3-5), consistent with reports by Liao et al. and Huang et al. [28,29]. Moreover, the larger tumors did not increase mutations in cfDNA (Tables 2 and 3). Theoretically, the cfDNA mutation ratio should be higher in the larger tumors than in smaller tumors. Therefore, wider genomic coverage and larger sample size is necessary in future studies to resolve this issue.

Liver is rich in degradative enzymes for a number of substrates, including DNA. Liver cirrhosis damages liver functions and we observed that 6 out of 16 HCC patients were associated with cirrhosis. Moreover, the mutation relevance ratio was higher in 4 of the 6 HCC cases with cirrhosis, although, it was statistically insignificant. 14 mutations of cfDNA from 6 cases were isolated, and all of them could find the corresponding origins from 35 mutations of 10 tumor tissues. The transmissivity was 40% (14/35), it is a little lower than a recent report. Not all tissue variants could be confirmed in the matched plasma, up to 57% of the tumor variants were reflected in matched cfDNA [15]. One reason for lower number of mutations in the cfDNA could be the low cfDNA (~5 ng) input used in the assay. In spite of this drawback, our study suggests that cfDNA testing can be used to test liver pathology.

HBV infection induces inflammation and oxidative stress, which promote both cirrhosis and HCC [30]. In our study, 6/16 HCC cases were associated with liver cirrhosis, which is a risk factor for HCC. Integration of HBV into the human genome results in persistent expression of *HBX* and preS1/S2 viral proteins, which destabilize the human genome and accumulate harmful mutations that transform hepatocytes [24]. We detected no HBV integration in our assays. However, because HBV integrates randomly into the human genome [24], future studies should employ specific HBV primers and achieve larger genomic coverage.

TP53 is one of the most commonly mutated genes in most human tumors including HCC [24,31]. We identified 8 mutations of *TP53* in our study. Among these, *TP53* R249S (case 6) is related to poor prognosis of HCC [32]. We also identified *CDN2A* D74V mutant, which is part of the *TP53* signaling pathway [24]. Several clinical trials (NCT02999893; NCT02935907) are underway for drugs targeting *TP53* and related pathway genes to improve the prognosis of *TP53* mutant tumors [33,34].

Deregulated *TERT* activity as a result of mutations improves tumor cell survival [26,35]. *TERT* promoter is a hot spot for HBVinduced mutations, and -124G>A (chr5:g.1295228G>A) and -146G>A (chr5:g.1295250G>A) mutations are reported in 42.4% of Asian HCC patients [26]. These two mutations are in the vicinity of *TP53* binding sites (chr5: g. 1295221 and chr5: g. 1295255) (http:// www.sabiosciences.com/chipqpcrsearch.php?gene=*TERT*&species_ id=0&factor=p53&ninfo=n&ngene=n&nfactor=n). This suggests that these *TERT* promoter mutations diminish tumor suppressor activity of *TP53*. We identified 5 *TERT* promoter mutations in the HCC tumor samples (Table 2). These mutations were also identified from 2 cfDNA samples. This suggests that these *TERT* promoter mutations are potential biomarkers for HCC screening and targeted therapy.

WNT/β-catenin pathway is another commonly deregulated signaling pathway in HCC [36]. We identified 4 *AXIN1* mutations from 2 cases and 1 *CTNNB1* mutation in the third case. *AXIN* and *CTNNB1* are two key integral players of the *WNT* signaling pathway [37]. Loss of function mutations in the *AXIN1* gene plays a critical role in several tumors [36]. Aberrant activity of *CTNNB1*, which is an intracellular signal transducer in the *WNT* signaling pathway, is associated with HCC [37]. It is plausible that *TERT* promoter mutations increase the *WNT* pathway activity *via AXIN1* and/or *CTNNB1* mutations [38,39]. Preclinical studies on targeted *CTNNB1* therapy are currently underway and probably will play a critical role in future HCC therapy [40].

We also identified 5 mutations in the *PI3K-AKT-mTOR* pathway genes. We identified the *HGF* Y167N mutation (AF=34.33%) in case 2 and *FGFR2* Y813F, 2 *FLT1* intronal variants and a KDR splice region and intronal variants from case 9 (Table 2). Since many drugs targeting the *PI3K/AKT/mTOR* pathway are already in use, these mutations suggest use of related drugs in targeted HCC therapy.

We also identified secondary ALB mutations (14.29%) in HCC samples, and it was similar to a recent study, which observed ALB mutations in 13% of tumors [31]. Albumin is synthesized in the liver and comprises about one-half of the blood serum proteins. Tumor cells with ALB inactivating mutations are selected probably because they efficiently divert energy into cancer-relevant metabolic pathways [41]. We identified five ALB mutations in our study, namely, ALB 5_prime_UTR_variant (chr4:g.74270031A>G), A585_K588del (chr4:g.74285325_74285336delCTGACGATAA GG), V78A (chr4:g.74272441T>C), splice acceptor variant (chr4:g. 74285222_74285223delAG), and F73HfsTer65 (chr4:g.74272425_7 4272434delTTTGCAAAAA). Several of these were loss-of-function mutations. Moreover, as shown in Table 6, the number of cases with ALB mutations (6.000 \pm 2.160) were more than cases without ALB mutations (1.833 \pm 1.329; p=0.021407), suggesting hypermutation.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical

standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (5). Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Authors Contribution

Heping Hu conceived the project, designed the experiments and supervised the study; Xiaoyan Li, Liping Lei, Peng Wang and Guofang Liu collected the clinical data; Hao Wang, Nan He and Huabang Zhou performed the experiments and analyzed the data; Hao Wang wrote the manuscript; Heping Hu helped correct and review the manuscript; All the authors have read this manuscript and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported partially by the National key foundation for exploring scientific instrument of China (2012YQ220113).

References

- 1. Reungwetwattana T, Weroha SJ, Molina JR. Oncogenic pathways, molecularly targeted therapies, and highlighted clinical trials in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Clin Lung Cancer. 2012;13(4):252-66.
- Ruzzo A, Graziano F, Canestrari E, Magnani M. Molecular predictors of efficacy to anti-EGFR agents in colorectal cancer patients. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. 2010;10(1):68-79.
- Goffin JR, Zbuk K. Epidermal growth factor receptor: pathway, therapies, and pipeline. Clin Ther. 2013;35(9):1282-303.
- 4. Xu T, Kang X, You X, Dai L, Tian D, Yan W, et al. Cross-Platform Comparison of Four Leading Technologies for Detecting EGFR Mutations in Circulating Tumor DNA from Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma Patient Plasma. Theranostics. 2017;7(6):1437-46.
- Olsson E, Winter C, George A, Chen Y, Howlin J, Tang MH, et al. Serial monitoring of circulating tumor DNA in patients with primary breast cancer for detection of occult metastatic disease. EMBO Mol Med. 2015;7(8):1034-47.
- Diaz LA, Bardelli A. Liquid biopsies: genotyping circulating tumor DNA. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(6):579-86.
- 7. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(2):115-32.
- Desai JR, Ochoa S, Prins PA, He AR. Systemic therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2017;8(2):243-55.
- Li RC, Yang JY, Gong J, Li YP, Huang ZN, Fang KX, et al. Efficacy of hepatitis B vaccination on hepatitis B prevention and on hepatocellular carcinoma. Zhonghua liu xing bing xue za zhi=Zhonghua liuxingbingxue zazhi. 2004;25(5):385-7.
- Guichard C, Amaddeo G, Imbeaud S, Ladeiro Y, Pelletier L, Maad IB, et al. Integrated analysis of somatic mutations and focal copy-number changes identifies key genes and pathways in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Genet. 2012;44(6):694-8.
- 11. Fujimoto A, Totoki Y, Abe T, Boroevich KA, Hosoda F, Nguyen HH, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of liver cancers identifies etiological influences on mutation patterns and recurrent mutations in chromatin regulators. Nat Genet. 2012;44(7):760-4.
- Sung WK, Zheng H, Li S, Chen R, Liu X, Li Y, et al. Genome-wide survey of recurrent HBV integration in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Genet. 2012;44(7):765-9.
- 13. Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, Math M, Larkin J, Endesfelder D, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion

sequencing. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(10):883-92.

- 14. Forbes SA, Bindal N, Bamford S, Cole C, Kok CY, Beare D, et al. COSMIC: mining complete cancer genomes in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer. Nucleic acids research. 2011;39(Database issue):D945-50.
- 15. Dietz S, Schirmer U, Merce C, von Bubnoff N, Dahl E, Meister M, et al. Low Input Whole-Exome Sequencing to Determine the Representation of the Tumor Exome in Circulating DNA of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients. PloS one. 2016;11(8):e0161012.
- Perrone F, Lampis A, Bertan C, Verderio P, Ciniselli CM, Pizzamiglio S, et al. Circulating free DNA in a screening program for early colorectal cancer detection. Tumori. 2014;100(2):115-21.
- 17. Sakai K, Takeda H, Nishijima N, Orito E, Joko K, Uchida Y. Targeted DNA and RNA sequencing of fine-needle biopsy FFPE specimens in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma treated with sorafenib. Oncotarget. 2015;6(25):21636-44.
- Ang C, Miura JT, Gamblin TC, He R, Xiu J, Millis SZ, et al. Comprehensive multiplatform biomarker analysis of 350 hepatocellular carcinomas identifies potential novel therapeutic options. J Surg Oncol. 2016;113(1):55-61.
- Tai WT, Shiau CW, Chen PJ, Chu PY, Huang HP, Liu CY, et al. Discovery of novel Src homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatase 1 agonists from sorafenib for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology. 2014;59(1):190-201.
- 20. Chuma M, Terashita K, Sakamoto N. New molecularly targeted therapies against advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: From molecular pathogenesis to clinical trials and future directions. Hepatol Res. 2015;45(10):E1-11.
- Levrero M, Zucman-Rossi J. Mechanisms of HBV-induced hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 2016;64(Suppl 1):S84-101.
- 22. Wei F, Zheng Q, Li M, Wu M. The association between hepatitis B mutants and hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96(19):e6835.
- 23. Zhao LH, Liu X, Yan HX, Li WY, Zeng X, Yang Y, et al. Genomic and oncogenic preference of HBV integration in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12992.
- 24. Kawai-Kitahata F, Asahina Y, Tanaka S, Kakinuma S, Murakawa M, Nitta S, et al. Comprehensive analyses of mutations and hepatitis B virus integration in hepatocellular carcinoma with clinicopathological features. J Gastroenterol. 2016;51(5):473-86.
- 25. Yang X, Wu L, Lin J, Wang A, Wan X, Wu Y, et al. Distinct hepatitis B virus integration patterns in hepatocellular carcinoma and adjacent normal liver tissue. Inter J Cancer. 2017;140(6):1324-30.
- 26. Pezzuto F, Buonaguro L, Buonaguro FM, Tornesello ML. Frequency and geographic distribution of TERT promoter mutations in primary hepatocellular carcinoma. Infect Agent Cancer. 2017;12:27.
- 27. Yang X, Guo X, Chen Y, Chen G, Ma Y, Huang K, et al. Telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter mutations in hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncotarget. 2016;7(19):27838-47.
- 28. Liao W, Yang H, Xu H, Wang Y, Ge P, Ren J, et al. Noninvasive detection of tumor-associated mutations from circulating cell-free DNA in hepatocellular carcinoma patients by targeted deep sequencing. Oncotarget. 2016;7(26):40481-90.
- 29. Huang A, Zhao X, Yang XR, Li FQ, Zhou XL, Wu K, et al. Circumventing intratumoral heterogeneity to identify potential therapeutic targets in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 2017;67(2):293-301.
- 30. Tornesello ML, Buonaguro L, Izzo F, Buonaguro FM. Molecular alterations in hepatocellular carcinoma associated with hepatitis B and hepatitis C infections. Oncotarget. 2016;7(18):25087-102.
- 31. Ally A, Balasundaram M, Carlsen R, Chuah E, Clarke A, Dhalla N,

et al. Comprehensive and Integrative Genomic Characterization of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cell. 2017;169(7):1327-41.e23.

- 32. Mao R, Liu J, Liu G, Jin S, Xue Q, Ma L, et al. Whole genome sequencing of matched tumor, adjacent non-tumor tissues and corresponding normal blood samples of hepatocellular carcinoma patients revealed dynamic changes of the mutations profiles during hepatocarcinogenesis. Oncotarget. 2017;8(16):26185-99.
- Duffy MJ, Synnott NC, Crown J. Mutant p53 as a target for cancer treatment. Eur J Cancer (Oxford, England:1990). 2017;83:258-65.
- 34. Yue X, Zhao Y, Xu Y, Zheng M, Feng Z, Hu W. Mutant p53 in Cancer: Accumulation, Gain-of-Function, and Therapy. J Mol Biol. 2017;429(11):1595-606.
- 35. Castelo-Branco P, Choufani S, Mack S, Gallagher D, Zhang C, Lipman T, et al. Methylation of the TERT promoter and risk stratification of childhood brain tumors: an integrative genomic and molecular study. Lancet Oncol. 2013;14(6):534-42.
- 36. Ikeda S, Kishida S, Yamamoto H, Murai H, Koyama S, Kikuchi A. Axin, a negative regulator of the Wnt signaling pathway, forms a complex with GSK-3beta and beta-catenin and promotes GSK-3beta-dependent phosphorylation of beta-catenin. EMBO J. 1998;17(5):1371-84.
- Monga SP. Beta-Catenin Signaling and Roles in Liver Homeostasis, Injury, and Tumorigenesis. Gastroenterology. 2015;148(7):1294-310.

- 38. Cairo S, Armengol C, De Reynies A, Wei Y, Thomas E, Renard CA, et al. Hepatic stem-like phenotype and interplay of Wnt/beta-catenin and Myc signaling in aggressive childhood liver cancer. Cancer cell. 2008;14(6):471-84.
- 39. Nault JC, Mallet M, Pilati C, Calderaro J, Bioulac-Sage P, Laurent C, et al. High frequency of telomerase reverse-transcriptase promoter somatic mutations in hepatocellular carcinoma and preneoplastic lesions. Nat commun. 2013;4:2218.
- 40. Calvisi DF. Molecularly targeted therapies for human hepatocellular carcinoma: should we start from beta-catenin inhibition? J Hepatol. 2015;62(2):257-9.
- 41. Fernandez-Banet J, Lee NP, Chan KT, Gao H, Liu X, Sung WK, et al. Decoding complex patterns of genomic rearrangement in hepatocellular carcinoma. Genomics. 2014;103(2-3):189-203.
- 42. Swinkels DW, Wiegerinck E, Steegers EA, de Kok JB. Effects of bloodprocessing protocols on cell-free DNA quantification in plasma. Clin chem. 2003;49(3):525-6.
- 43. International Consensus Group for Hepatocellular Neoplasia. The International Consensus Group for Hepatocellular Neoplasia. Pathologic diagnosis of early hepatocellular carcinoma: a report of the international consensus group for hepatocellular neoplasia. Hepatology. 2009;49(2):658-64.