



Palliation of Extra-Hepatic Biliary Malignancies: Diminishing Role for Surgery

Savio G Barreto¹ and John A Windsor^{2*}

¹Department of Surgery, Perioperative Medicine Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, Adelaide, Australia

²Department of General Surgery, University of Auckland, New Zealand

Short Communication

In a recently published manuscript, Buettner and colleagues [1] provides an analysis of outcomes following palliative surgery for extra hepatic biliary malignancies over a period of 15 years from ten of the best cancer centres in the United States. Their findings suggest that palliative bypass surgery is associated with increased morbidity and no survival benefit compared with 'open and close' laparotomies. It has been shown that palliative bypass surgery is not associated with a reduction in the number of invasive procedures or to overall hospital stay [2].

Justifying palliative bypass surgery is difficult when gains in quality of life can be discounted by a reduced quantity of life and reduced survival [3]. And it is even more difficult to justify when non-surgical alternatives to surgical bypass are effective and safe [4-6].

The intention of surgery often changes during the course of the operation. Whilst a curative resection might be intended at the outset there are situations where resection is completed with the full knowledge that cancer has been left behind. This is different from the less common situation when resectional surgery is known to be palliative from the outset. Different again is the situation where a trial dissection indicates that resection is not possible and the decision has to be made about the best approach to palliating obstructive jaundice and incipient duodenal obstruction. These three different situations should be distinguished. The finding of unresectable disease with an open abdomen does not automatically justify traditional 'double bypass' given the apparent superior palliation from endoscopic stenting for malignant biliary [6] and/or gastric outlet obstruction [7,8].

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is incurable in the vast majority of patients and the avoidance of unnecessary surgery in these patients is an important goal. And now with the availability of non-surgical alternatives for palliation it is even more important to exclude patients and avoid palliative resection or palliative bypass. It is time to conduct prospective randomised clinical trials to better define the relative benefits of different palliative strategies (including surgical resection, surgical bypass, endoscopic and radiological stenting, ablation techniques, chemotherapy and radiotherapy) for patients with advanced extrahepatic biliary malignancies in regards symptom control and quality of life. Pending a revolution in the biologic treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma more effort should be expended on improving the palliative management of the vast majority of patients for whom surgery is never going to be the answer.

OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

John A Windsor, Department of Surgery,
University of Auckland, New Zealand,
E-mail: j.windsor@auckland.ac.nz

Received Date: 20 Dec 2016

Accepted Date: 30 Jan 2017

Published Date: 20 Mar 2017

Citation:

Barreto SG, Windsor JA. Palliation of Extra-Hepatic Biliary Malignancies: Diminishing Role for Surgery. *Clin Oncol.* 2017; 2: 1229.

Copyright © 2017 Windsor JA. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

1. Buettner S, Wilson A, Margonis GA, Gani F, Ethun CG, Poultides GA, et al. Assessing Trends in Palliative Surgery for Extrahepatic Biliary Malignancies: A 15-Year Multicenter Study. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2016; 20: 1444-1452.
2. Lyons JM, Karkar A, Correa-Gallego CC. Operative procedures for unresectable pancreatic cancer: does operative bypass decrease requirements for postoperative procedures and in-hospital days? *HPB (Oxford).* 2012; 14: 469-475.
3. Ausania F, Vallance AE, Manas DM. Double bypass for inoperable pancreatic malignancy at laparotomy: postoperative complications and long-term outcome. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2012; 94: 563-568.
4. Williamsson C, Wennerblom J, Tingstedt B, Jonsson C. A wait-and-see strategy with subsequent self-expanding metal stent on demand is superior to prophylactic bypass surgery for unresectable periampullary cancer. *HPB (Oxford).* 2016; 18: 107-112.
5. Nagaraja V, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Endoscopic stenting versus operative gastrojejunostomy for malignant gastric outlet obstruction-a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized and non-randomized trials. *J Gastrointest Oncol.* 2014; 5: 92-98.

6. Lima SAd, Bustamante F, Moura EHd. Endoscopic palliative treatment versus surgical bypass in malignant low bile duct obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis.* 2015; 5: 35-46.
7. Ly J, O'Grady G, Mittal A, Plank L, Windsor J. A systematic review of methods to palliate malignant gastric outlet obstruction. *Surg Endosc.* 2010; 24: 290-297.
8. Mittal A, Windsor J, Woodfield J, Casey P, Lane M. Matched study of three methods for palliation of malignant pyloroduodenal obstruction. *Br J Surg.* 2004; 91: 205-209.