



Hypo Fractionated Radiotherapy in Prostate Cancer

Georgios Plataniotis*

Department of Clinical Oncology, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals, UK

Editorial

External beam Radiation Therapy (RT) is given in many small fractions (fractionated RT) usually 20-40 with a dose per fraction of 1.8-2 Gy, because it is known that cell-killing is much less compared to a single-dose or few large doses [1-3]. Fractionation spares some of the radiation-induced damage of the normal tissues--surrounding the area of the tumour--which they can recover from, while neoplastic tissues will not, resulting in the cure of cancer with radiotherapy.

The macroscopic and clinical effects of fractionation on neoplastic and normal tissues can be measured with the iso-effective Linear-Quadratic formulation [1-4] which calculates the Biologically Effective Dose of fractionated RT schedules. The basic tissue-related parameter in this formula is the α/β ratio which characterizes each type of tissue [4,5].

Tumours and late-responding normal tissues respond differently in variable RT dose fractionations because of the larger proportion of cycling cells in tumors. Late reacting normal tissues have a lower α/β ratio (2-5 Gy) and therefore a higher fractionation sensitivity compared to the early-reacting normal tissues and most of the tumors ($\alpha/\beta = 8-15$ Gy).

The late ones have a high fractionation sensitivity as an increase in the dose per fraction (for a given total dose) causes more cell-kill to them, compared to the cell-kill an equal increase of dose per fraction (for the same total dose) causes to tissues characterized by a high α/β ratio [6] (the interested reader can look at references 1 and 2 and they can also do their own simple calculations using clinical examples).

Prostate tumors contain smaller (than the other cancers) fractions of cycling cells, so after an analysis of the clinical RT data and dose-response relationships, various authors suggested that prostate tumors might not respond to changes in fractionation similarly to other cancers. They are characterized by low α/β ratios and therefore have high-fractionation sensitivity. For prostate cancer α/β ratio has been calculated at 1.5 Gy [7-11] and more recently based on data of modern clinical studies at 1.3 Gy or higher at 1.8 Gy in the CHHIP trial [12-15].

Consequently there is a therapeutic benefit expected if they were treated with fewer and larger fractions—a technique called hypo fractionated RT [6] -- where doses per fraction of 2.5-3.5 Gy are employed. With the recent advancements of technical capacity in RT and the excellent localization, treatment planning and dose delivery techniques (IMRT, IGRT, Tomotherapy) it has been possible to make hypo fractionation feasible and safe (in terms of normal tissue sparing) especially in prostate cancer patients.

The relevant, with the prostate, late-responding normal tissue is the rectum and there is some evidence that α/β ratio of the rectum is around 4 Gy, i.e. higher than for most other late sequelae [16]. As Brenner [7] has noted this would result in increased tumor control for a given level of late complications, or decreased late complications for a given level of tumor control i.e. an increase of therapeutic ratio.

However hypo fractionation for prostate Ca is not an entirely novel treatment approach. Satisfactory outcomes have been reported 22 years' experience (1962–1984) with 232 prostate cancer patients treated with 6-fractions of 6 Gy each with the much inferior dose distributions of that age (compared to the current ones) [17]. There is also extensive experience from the Manchester "hypo fractionation school" of treating prostate cancer with a 15 x 3.1 Gy (total 46.5 Gy) [18].

In the last couple of years, randomized studies (Table 1) comparing standard with hypo fractionated RT schedules in more than 6,000 patients—mainly of low and intermediate-risk—have reported that hypo fractionated RT is working at least equally well with standard fractionation. Of note is that the effect of hypo fractionation remained regardless of the use of hormone therapy. The urinary and gastrointestinal reactions were comparable and the interested reader should refer

OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

Georgios Plataniotis, Department of Clinical Oncology, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals, UK,

E-mail: george.plataniotis@nhs.net

Received Date: 23 Nov 2016

Accepted Date: 07 Feb 2017

Published Date: 13 Feb 2017

Citation:

Plataniotis G. Hypo Fractionated Radiotherapy in Prostate Cancer. *Clin Oncol.* 2017; 2: 1201.

Copyright © 2017 Plataniotis G. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1: The recently reported randomised trials on prostate hypo fractionated RT [12-15].

TRIAL	CHHIP trial (12)	PROFIT trial (15)	RTOG 0415 (13)	HYPRO trial (14)
Nm of patients	3216	1206	1092	804
Fractionation— % recurrence rate	2 Gy x 37=74 Gy—88.3% 3 Gy x 20 = 60 Gy—90.6% 3 x 19 = 57 Gy—85.9%	2 Gy x 39 = 78 Gy—79% 3 Gy x 20 = 60 Gy—79%	1.8 x 41 = 73.8 Gy—85% 2.5 Gy x 28 =70Gy---86%	2 Gy x 39 =78 Gy—77% 3.4 Gy x 19 =64 Gy—81%
Hormonotherapy	3–6 months of neoadjuvant and concurrent	No	No	60% concomitant
Median f-up time	62 months	72	69.6	60
Risk group	Low—15% Intermediate---73% High—12%	Intermediate risk	Low-risk	Intermediate and high risk
Recurrence criterion	Biochemical/clinical	Biochemical	Biochemical	Biochemical/clinical

to the original publications [12-15] taking into account the possible differences in RT techniques.

The simultaneous integrated boost technique used in the Intensity Modulated RT (IMRT) involves a dose gradient across the (three in most techniques) Planning Target Volumes (PTVs), and reduces the dose and the dose per fraction by identical proportions and therefore is not expected to be affecting the observed hypo fractionation effect [19].

Following the reported and gained experience it is felt that hypo fractionated RT for the low and intermediate-risk prostate Ca patients can become a standard of care [20], provided that advanced RT techniques are used. This will increase patients' convenience and turnover in RT departments [20].

References

- Dale R, Jones B. Radiobiological modeling in Radiation Oncology. Edition of the British Institute of Radiology. 2007.
- Chapman D, Nahum A. Radiotherapy Treatment Planning: Linear-Quadratic Radiobiology. CRC press Taylor and Francis group. 2015.
- Thames HD, Hendry JH. Fractionation in radiotherapy. 1987.
- Fowler JF. The linear-quadratic formula and progress in fractionated radiotherapy. Br J Radiol. 1989; 62: 679–694.
- Fowler JF. 21 years of biologically effective dose. Br J Radiol 2010; 83: 554–658.
- Alan E. Nahum. The Radiobiology of Hypofractionation. Clinical Oncology. 2015.
- Brenner DJ, Hall EJ. Fractionation and protraction for radiotherapy of prostate carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1999; 43: 1095–1101.
- Brenner DJ. Hypo fractionation for prostate cancer radiotherapy—what are the issues? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2003; 57: 912–914.
- Miralbell R, Roberts SA, Zubizarreta E, Hendry JH. Dose-fractionation sensitivity of prostate cancer deduced from radiotherapy outcomes of 5,969 patients in seven international institutional datasets: $\alpha/\beta = 1.4$ (0.9–2.2) Gy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012; 82: 17–24.
- Fowler JF, Ritter MA, Chappell RJ, Brenner DJ. What hypo fractionated protocols should be tested for prostate cancer? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2003; 56: 1093–1104.
- Fowler J, Chappell R, Ritter M. Is α/β for prostate tumours really low? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2001; 50: 1021–1031.
- Wilkins A, Mossop H, Syndikus I, Khoo V, Bloomfield D, Parker C, et al. Hypo fractionated radiotherapy versus conventionally fractionated radiotherapy for patients with intermediate-risk localised prostate cancer: 2-year patient-reported outcomes of the randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 CHHiP trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015; 16: 1605–1616.
- Lee WR, Dignam JJ, Amin MB. Randomised phase III non-inferiority study comparing two radiotherapy fractionation schedules in patients with low-risk prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol .2016; 34: 2325–2332.
- Incrocci L, Wortel RC, Alemayehu WG. Hypofractionated versus conventionally fractionated radiotherapy for patients with localised prostate cancer (HYPRO): final efficacy results from a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016; 17: 1061–1069.
- Catton CN, Lukka H, Julian JA. A randomised trial of a shorter radiation fractionation schedule for the treatment of localised prostate cancer. ASCO Meeting Abstracts. 2016; 34: 5003.
- Tucker SL1, Thames HD, Michalski JM, Bosch WR, Mohan R, Winter K, et al. Estimation of alpha α/β beta for late rectal toxicity based on RTOG 94-06. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011; 81: 600–605.
- Collins CD, Lloyd-Davies RW, Swan AV. Radical external beam radiotherapy for localised carcinoma of the prostate using a hypofractionation technique. Clin Oncol. 1991; 3: 127–132.
- Logue JP, Cowan RA, Hendry JH. Hypofractionation for prostate cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2001; 49: 1522– 1523.
- Dearnaley D, Syndikus I, Hall E, Gulliford S. Response to: Sivanandan et al. Prostate Hypofractionated Radiotherapy Trial Results Need to be Interpreted with Caution due to Undertreatment of the Control Arm in the CHHiP Trial. Letters / Clinical Oncology. 2016; 28: 797–801.
- Dearnaley D. Hypofractionation for Prostate Cancer: Time to Change, Clinical Oncology. 2016.